



INTERGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PROCESS PLAN:2027-2028



Physical address :

Civic Centre
c/o Joe Slovo and Douwater Road
Onverwacht

Telephone Number:

(014) 763 2193

Facsimile number:

(014) 763 5662

E-mail:

munic@lephalale.gov.za

Website address:

www.lephalale.gov.za

Mayor

Cllr Thabitha Modise

Municipal Manager

Ms PF Nogilana-Raphela

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	2
2.	The IDP review process.	4
3.	IDP Phases and Description.....	5
4.	Institutional Arrangements, Roles and Responsibilities of IDP/Budget/PMS Structures	6
4.1	The IDP review Institutional arrangement	6
4.2	The Roles and Responsibilities of Each Stakeholder during IDP review.....	6
4.3	Organizational arrangements.....	8
4.4	Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders.	8
5.	Mechanisms and Procedures for Participation and Alignment.....	11
5.1	Public Participation	11
5.2	Public participation strategy.....	12
5.3	Mechanisms and procedure for public participation.....	13
5.4	Functions of community participation.....	13
5.5	Mechanism for participation.....	13
5.6	Mechanisms and Procedure for alignment.....	13
6.	The District Development model	15
6.1	Objectives of the District Development Model	15
7.	Focus areas of the IGR, IDP and M&E	16
8.	National and Provincial binding legislation and policy requirements.....	17
9.	Quarterly progress review (GAP identification and analysis).....	19
10.	Draft Reviewed IDP and Budget.....	19
11.	Summary of Key IDP Review Phases and Activities.....	20
12.	Budget for the Review of the IDP, Budget & PMS.....	20
13.	TIME SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE 2027/28 IDP, BUDGET AND PMS.....	22
Table 1: IDP Phases and Description		5
Table 2: Role-players and Responsibilities		7
Table 3 Stakeholders and Responsibilities		9
Table 4 Illustrates mechanisms and procedures for alignment		14
Table 5 Legislative Framework		17
Table 6 Activities		20
Table 7 Time schedule of activities		22
Table 8 Budget breakdown.....		21

IDP, BUDGET & PMS PROCESS PLAN

1. Introduction.

The IDP is a strategic transformation tool of the municipality which provide and promote spatial and economic development, infrastructure development and alignment of the budget to achieve the set deliverables.

The aforementioned prescription of the Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000) require in terms of Section 28(1) municipality *must adopt a process set out in writing to guide the planning, drafting, adoption and review of its integrated development plan.*

The Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000) further prescribes in Section 27 (1) Each district municipality, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term and after following a consultative process with the local municipalities within its area, must adopt a framework for integrated development planning in the area as a whole.

The Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000) further states in terms of section 34 that the A municipal council- (a) must review its integrated development plan- (i) annually in accordance with an assessment of its performance measurements in terms of section 41; and (ii) to the extent that changing circumstances so demand; and (b) may amend its integrated development plan in accordance with a prescribed process.

The IDP Process Plan is also prescribed in Section 21 of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003) which provides the following:

(1) The Mayor of a municipality must

(a) Co-ordinate the processes for preparing the annual budget and for reviewing the municipality's Integrated Development Plan and budget related policies to ensure that the tabled budget and any revisions of the integrated development plan and budget related policies are mutually consistent and credible

(b) At least 10 months before the start of the budget year, table in the municipal council a time schedule outlining key deadlines for –

- i. The preparation, tabling and approval of the annual budget*
- ii. Annual review of –*

- (aa) *the integrated development plan in terms of the section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act and,*
 - (bb) *the budget related policies*
- iii. *Tabling and adoption of any amendments to the integrated development plan and budget and related policies; and*
- iv. *Any consultative process forming part of the processes referred to in subparagraph(i), (ii) ad (iii)*

This Process Plan is developed in line with the IDP Review Framework Plan of Waterberg District Municipality prepared and agreed with its Local Municipalities in the District.

The IDP Process Plan provides guidance with respect to the programme of action that has to be followed during the IDP development process. The purpose of this process plan is to identify and detail the elements identified in the Framework Plan and set out the specific time frames in which all activities should be achieved.

This plan includes the following aspects:

- An indication of the **organizational arrangements** for the IDP process.
- **Appropriate mechanisms, processes and procedures for consultation and participation** of local communities, organs of state, traditional authorities, and other role players in the IDP drafting process.
- **Binding plans and Planning requirements**, i.e. policy and legislation; and
- **Mechanisms and procedures for vertical and horizontal alignment.**
- **A programme** specifying time frames for the different Planning steps.

The IDP is a strategic document that directs investment in the public sector and decision-making. Although a five-year horizon is assumed, it allows for far longer-term goals and vision. The IDP is a legal document that is evaluated every year to account for shifts in goals, patterns and trends in development, and methods of providing services.

The Integrated Development Planning strategy aims to align development planning at the local level. Making strategic, scientific, and consultative decisions on issues like land management, social and economic development, institutional reform, and municipal budgets is the aim of the integrated development planning process. For the local municipality and its development partners to make informed decisions, it sets norms for action and consultation.

It makes it possible for the local municipality, the Waterberg District municipality, provincial and federal departments, and others to coordinate and integrate policies and programs and to make tough strategic choices that support local growth.

The value of Integrated Development Planning for Municipalities lies in the formulation of focused plans, based on development priorities.

Chapter 5 of Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 Of 2000) section 25 (1) stipulates that, each Municipal Council must within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, adopt a single, inclusive, and strategic plan for the development of the Municipality which.

- (a) Links integrates and coordinates plans and considers proposals for the development of the Municipality.
- (b) Aligns the resources and capacity of the Municipality with the implementation of the plan.
- (c) Forms the policy framework and general basis on which annual budgets must be based.

2. The IDP review process.

The IDP review relates to assessing the Municipality's performance against Organization objectives as well as implementation, delivery and taking into consideration new information and changed circumstances. Furthermore, the IDP review is also looked at in the light of changing internal and external circumstances that impact on the priority issues, objectives, strategies, projects, and programmes of the municipality. The annual revision of the IDP must inform the Municipality's Financial and Institutional planning and most importantly, the drafting of the Annual Budget.

The IDP review process incorporate the following steps towards producing the reviewed document:

- Phasing of events in sequence i.e.
- Consultative analysis of existing situation.
- Strategic debate and decision making
- Technical project design, (purpose statement of different projects).
- Integration of outputs into consolidated IDP and
- An assessment, alignment, and approval process, where possible.
- Frequent interaction and consultation with stakeholders.

The IDP review process should be followed in so far as progress permits, the most obvious shortcoming being, **PMS** (Performance Management System) and several **Integrated Programmes** which have been formulated. The IDP review document maps out the course of action regarding especially Capital Projects for the coming year. The document is also a reminder of current

shortcomings in terms of Institutional preparations, financial planning, and the role of the Municipality in respect of Local and District plans and programmes. The district development model (DDM) is aimed at transforming the economy and improving the quality of life of people by enhancing cooperative governance and overall state coherence and performance. It is focused on bringing about fundamental changes with the following strategic goals:

- To stimulate new thinking, new socio-economic paradigms, new and bold solutions, and alternatives.
- To fundamentally change conditions on the ground with regards to people, economy, and space.
- To develop resilience and prosperity of the country.
- To facilitate responsive institutions and change management.

3. IDP Phases and Description

The Integrated Development Plan process consists of **phases** and **planning activities**. This phase as outlined in the below table are to enable participation of stakeholders.

Table 1: IDP Phases and Description

PHASES	ITEM	DESCRIPTION
Phase 1	Analysis	Compilation and reconciling of existing information through Community participation and Stakeholder involvement and other Spheres of Government. These involve the Municipality level and Spatial analysis of Development issues for presentation. In-depth analysis of priority issues within Sector, alignment for consolidated results
Phase 2	Strategies	Draw up Vision statement for determining working objectives for localized strategic and spatial guidelines. Define resource Framework and design financial strategies for creating alternative funding. Establish localized environmental and economic development strategic guidelines. Translate District strategic workshop results in Local decisions and create conditions and alternatives for public debate and participation.
Phase 3	Project	Form project task teams for designing project proposal key performance indicators, major activity, time frame and establish preliminary budget allocation. Set indicators for objectives and involve Provincial and National Spheres of Government and other partners. Target group participation in project planning.
Phase 4	Integration	Screening of draft project proposals linking it with the budget and existing legislation. Integrating Spatial projects and Sector programmes. Monitor integrated Performance Management Systems and Disaster Management

	Plans as well as other Plans. Integrating poverty reduction, gender equity and local economic development programmes
Phase 5 Approval	The phase affords opportunities for comments from public, Provincial/National Government, and horizontal co-ordination at District level. Approval by the Representative Forum which serves as an Institutional structure that represents the wishes and will of various Stakeholders including but not limited to the community. Final adoption by Municipal Council and compiling of District level summaries of local IDP's

4. Institutional Arrangements, Roles and Responsibilities of IDP/Budget/PMS Structures

4.1 The IDP review Institutional arrangement.

As prescribed in the legislation, for the yearly review of the IDP, the municipality should prepare and review a Process Plan that will institutionalise preparations into action. The evaluation method should be consistent with the District IDP Framework Plan. The municipality must design and create inclusive institutional mechanisms to administer the process and ensure, among other things, the following:

- implement the involvement of stakeholders in the process.
- Create a shared understanding of the municipality's development challenges and aspirations.
- Manage outcomes effectively.
- Allow stakeholders to participate in planning and decision-making; and
- Serve as an IDP Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation Forum.

4.2 The Roles and Responsibilities of Each Stakeholder during IDP review

To enable stakeholders to provide value added inputs, ownership, commitment and avert duplications to these processes, the roles and responsibilities of various government sphere and other key stakeholders are defined in the below table

Table 2: Role-players and Responsibilities

Role Player	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
Council	Must consider, adopt, monitor, and approve the process that was followed reviewing the IDP and budget.
Mayor/Exco.	Oversee the drafting process, assign responsibilities, and submit the draft plan to council for adoption
Portfolio Councillors	Participate in the IDP process. Assists the mayor as well as officials in problem solving and establishing policies regarding their specific portfolio committees.
Ward Councillors and Committees	Link the planning process to their constituencies, organize stakeholder consultation and participation through local level representative structures and through the IDP Rep Forum and ensure that the municipal budget is linked to and based on the IDP.
Municipal Manager	Is responsible for the overall management, co-ordination, and monitoring of the planning process, ensuring that all relevant actors are appropriately involved, is responsible for the day-to-day management of the drafting process, ensures that Alignment takes place with provincial and national department's budgets and alignment of planning activities on provincial and local level.
Line function Managers	Takes joint responsibility for overall management, co-ordination, and monitoring of the planning process. They would identify persons to oversee the different roles, activities and responsibilities of the process and specific planning activities, screens the contents of the IDP, considers and comment on inputs from sub-committees, provincial sector departments and specialists, as well as comment on draft outputs from each phase of the IDP.
WDM (Waterberg District Municipality)	Offer Professional support and technical guidance to both the district and local municipalities. Co-ordinate Project implementation and IDP meetings.
Sector Departments (Province, National)	They provide all relevant technical, sector and financial information for analysis to determine priority issues and contribute technical expertise in the identification of projects. They are also responsible for the preparation of Project proposals, the integration of projects and sector programmes.
Business Sector	They form part of the IDP Representatives Forum and make contributions to the IDP process at that level.


NGO's and CBO's	Support the alignment procedures between the municipalities and spheres of government and product related contributions at the IDP representative forum.
Community members	Submit inputs to the IDP process through Ward Committees and participates in public consultation processes to the IDP Representatives Forum. inputs in a form of in-depth analysis to the municipality for consideration during the review process. Each ward will be expected to establish Ward Plans that will inform the IDP process.

4.3 Organizational arrangements.

The Municipality established Institutionalization of the participation process to ensure effective management and drafting of outputs and to give affected parties access to contribute to the decision-making process. The reason for the establishment of the Organizational arrangement of Lephalale Municipality is to implement strategic plan.

The following diagram indicates the Organizational Structure that was established to ensure the Institutionalization of the IDP process, the effective management of the drafting of the IDP and to ensure proper and sufficient stakeholder participation in decision-making.

Digram1: Institutional arrangements for IDP process.



4.4 Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders.

Roles and responsibilities of each stakeholder in the IDP process and their distribution within the Municipality is outlined in the Table 3.

Table 3 Stakeholders and Responsibilities

STAKEHOLDER (S)	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
Council	As the ultimate political decision-making body of the municipality, council must consider, adopt, and approve the IDP.
Mayor/ Executive Committee	In terms of section 30 of Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000) the Mayor/Executive Committee must: Manage the drafting of the IDP and assign the responsibility in this regard to the municipal manager. Submit the draft plan to municipal council for adoption.
Council Portfolio Committee	Oversight on the development of the IDP , Budget and PMS
Municipal Manager	The municipal manager is responsible and accountable for implementation of the municipality's IDP and the monitoring of progress with the implantation plan; responsible for advocating the IDP process and nominate persons in charge of different roles.
IDP Manager	The IDP manager is responsible for preparing the process plan (in collaboration with the steering committee) and for the day-to-day management of the process under consideration of time, resources, as well as people to ensure: Involvement of different role players including officials; that time frames are being adhered to; that the process is participatory, strategic and implementation orientated; that the IDP is horizontally and vertically aligned and complies with national and provincial requirements; those outcomes are being documented; that the adjustment of the IDP in accordance with the MEC for local government's proposal is made; making submission to the steering committee and management. Act as secretariat of the IDP representative forum; act as direct link between the municipality and the public; respond to comments on the draft IDP from the public, horizontal alignment with other spheres of government to the satisfaction of council. Ensure proper documentation of the results of planning of IDP document.
IDP, Budget & PMS Steering Committee	The IDP steering committee is a technical working team of dedicated officials and designated Councilors who, together with the Municipal Manager, the Mayor and IDP Officer must ensure a smooth compilation and implantation of the IDP. The Committee is responsible for the following:

	Defines the terms of reference and criteria for members of representative forum and ward committees; provides terms of reference for various planning activities; commission research studies. Considers and comments on input from subcommittees, task teams and consultants, as well as input from provincial sector departments and support providers. Processes summarize and documents outputs and make content recommendations. Ensures the co-ordination and integration of Sectoral plans and projects and oversees the compilation of the municipal budget make sure that it is in line with the IDP. Monitors the performance of the planning and implementation process and ensure that the annual business plans of municipal budget are linked to the IDP.
IDP ,Budget & PMS Representatives Forum	The IDP Representatives Forum facilitates and co-ordinates participation of the IDP process. The selection of members is based on criteria that ensure geographical and social representation. The role of the IDP Representatives Forum is to represent the interests of their constituents on the IDP process. Provide an organizational mechanism for discussion, negotiation and decision making between stakeholders including the municipality. Provide information and make inputs into the IDP and adopt the proposed IDP for presentation to municipal council.
Ward Committees	Ward Committees represent interest of the community in IDP Processes. These forums act as the formal communication channel between the community and the council. Representation on the forums must be as inclusive as possible to identify priority issues facing its area. Form a structural link between the IDP Representatives Forum and the community of each area; and monitor the performance of the planning and implementation process concerning its area.
Project Task Teams	Project Task Teams act as small operational specialized teams composed of several relevant municipal sector departments and technical people involved in the management of implantation and where appropriate, community stakeholders are directly affected by the projects.
Ward Councilors	Councilors are the major link between the municipality and the residents. As such their role is to, among others, link planning process with their constituencies in the wards; be responsible for organizing public consultation and participation.

	Ensure that the annual business plans and municipal budget are linked based on the IDP.
Heads of Directorates and Senior Officials	As the persons in charge for implementing IDP's, the Technical Sectoral officers must be fully involved in the IDP process, as well as be responsible for compilation and execution of the relevant Sectoral plan. Departmental heads and officials are responsible to: Provide relevant technical, sector and financial information for analysis for determining priority issues; contribute technical expertise in the consideration and finalization of strategies and identification of projects; provide departmental operational and capital budgeting information. Be responsible for preparation of project proposals, the integration of projects and sector programmes. Be responsible for preparing amendments to the draft IDP for submission to the municipal council for approval and the MEC for local government for alignment.
<i>DDM Council – Waterberg District Municipality</i>	<i>The DDM Council is chaired by the Executive Mayor of WDM. The Council is composed of the members of the DDM Technical Team (District Development Model Technical Team), the national and provincial champions Traditional Leaders, DCOG, Provincial COGHSTA, CBOs, NGOs, Private Sector, SOEs and various stakeholders. The DDM Council play an oversight role towards the implementation of the IDP through the DDM.</i>
<i>DDM Technical Team - Waterberg District Municipality</i>	<i>This is a body consisting of officials from various stakeholders, MMs from the Local Municipalities, officials from the sector departments, SOEs, Private Sector, and SALGA etc. and is chaired by the MM of WDM.</i>
<i>DDM Workstreams - Waterberg District Municipality</i>	<i>Work streams refer to the committees established to do various work to support DDM Technical Team by supplying well researched information in the realization of one plan</i>

5. Mechanisms and Procedures for Participation and Alignment

5.1 Public Participation

In terms of Chapter 4 of the Municipal Systems Act, Act 32 of 2000, a municipality must encourage and create conditions for the local community to participate in the affairs of the municipality, including in the preparation and implementation of its Integrated Development Plan. In this context, the municipality has committed to a participatory process of IDP whereby the community will play a meaningful role. The notice boards at municipal and site offices, libraries, offices of traditional leaders

will be used to inform stakeholders of crucial activities on development and review of the IDP, Budget and PMS. This will be augmented by print media.

5.2 Public participation strategy.

The involvement of Communities and other stakeholders in the IDP, budget and PMS process is a major feature and requires specific attention. An appropriate community participation strategy should be applied by the municipality to involve various role players with distinct responsibilities as listed hereunder.

- **Councillors** link the IDP process with their constituencies, organize public participation, and represent residents' interests politically.
- The **IDP Steering Committee**, typically chaired by the Municipal Manager or representative, supports the process by managing, coordinating, and monitoring planning activities, including establishing sub-committees for specific tasks.
- The **IDP Representative Forum** institutionalizes representative participation, serving as a platform for discussion, negotiation, decision-making, and communication among stakeholders, including municipal departments, traditional leaders, ward committees, sector departments, organized groups, and representatives for unorganized groups. This forum also monitors planning and implementation performance.
- Other officials, such as municipal planners and administrators, facilitate meetings, document processes, and handle logistics.
- To encourage representation of **unorganized or marginalized groups** (e.g., women, youth, disabled, poverty groups), municipalities mobilize NGOs or resource persons to advocate for their interests and ensure their inclusion in forums. Participation mechanisms vary by IDP phase: community meetings, stakeholder meetings, surveys, and opinion polls during analysis; strategy workshops and public debates during strategy formulation; technical sub-committees and intensive dialogues for project planning; broad public consultations for approval; and ongoing monitoring by the Representative Forum.
- Resources for participation include formal forums, ward committees, and technical teams, with meetings and workshops held regularly to maintain engagement.
- **Information dissemination** employs diverse media such as community radio, flyers, municipal bills, and Councillor-led ward meetings, using appropriate languages and accessible venues to maximize reach.
- Community needs are collected through public meetings, surveys, and consultations, with all inputs documented systematically to inform planning and decision-making, ensuring transparency and accountability throughout the process

- **Mayoral Imbizo/Indaba** on IDP, Budget & PMS : a mechanism to strengthen public participation and openness in development planning for the municipality
- **Municipal Website:** communicate, inform and enable receipt of written submissions/inputs from communities. Copies of the draft IDP and Budget will be placed and accessible from the website including schedule of public participation meetings (dates, time and venues of the consultative meetings)

5.3 Mechanisms and procedure for public participation.

Participation in Local Government matters takes place through a structured manner, hence the establishment of the IDP Representatives Forum. A review of existing Representatives will be made to involve Stakeholders that were not included during the previous planning process. To minimize costs and participation fatigue, the Municipality will phase in participation of different stakeholders at various levels of review without compromising the element of accountability. The Municipality will where possible make transport arrangements for ward committee members and Traditional Leaders. The language used in the meeting will be English with a note that participants will be permitted to use other languages. The draft reviewed IDP, Budget & PMS will be available to communities and stakeholders for their inputs/comments. The draft Integrated Development Plan (IDP) must be finalized by the end of March and the final Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and budget by end of May of each Financial Year.

5.4 Functions of community participation.

Participation in the development of the Integrated Development Plan serves to fulfil the below major functions which are as follow:

- 5.4.1 Needs orientation:** ensuring that people's needs, and problems are considered.
- 5.4.2 Appropriateness of solutions:** using the knowledge and experience of the residents and communities and officials to arrive at appropriate and sustainable problem solutions and measures.
- 5.4.3 Community ownership:** mobilizing residents and communities, initiatives, and resources, and encouraging co-operation and partnerships between the municipality and residents for implementation and maintenance.
- 5.4.4 Empowerment:** making integrated development planning a public event and forum for negotiating conflicting interest, finding compromises and common ground, and thereby creating the basis for transparency and accountability of the municipality towards residents.

5.4.5 Building trust: it is an important component of community participation process to build a foundation of trust between all the role-players

5.5 Mechanism for participation.

Ward Committees makes information available within wards through public ward meetings.

Media is involved regarding the IDP process and requests for participation by the community and stakeholder could be made by the following: newsletters inside Municipal bills; notices at prominent locations; postal notices to organized groups and organizations; local newspapers and electronic media.

The Integrated Development Planning process requires the input and support from all Spheres of Government at different stages of the planning process. It is, therefore, important that the Lephalale Municipality must understand where alignment takes place, establish the best or most appropriate manner to ensure alignment and identify the mechanisms for alignment. The action programme for Lephalale Municipality has to be aligned with the Waterberg District Municipality Framework.

5.6 Mechanisms and Procedure for alignment.

In terms of the IDP process there are two types of alignment, which is required viz:

- Horizontal - Between the Local (e.g., Lephalale local Municipality and the sister Municipalities within the Waterberg District Municipality) and
- Vertical - Between Local/District Municipalities and other Spheres of Government/Corporate service providers.

The below **Table 4** provides a summary of the mechanisms and procedures for alignment.

Table 4 Mechanisms and procedures for alignment

Phases	Activity within the IDP	Alignment between	Mechanism
Analysis phase	Lephalale Municipality and WDM stakeholder level analysis	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	July 2026
	Aggregating priority issues	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	Meetings
	In-depth analysis	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	Meetings
	Consolidation of analysis results	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	Aug 2026
Strategies Phase	Amendment of the vision (if necessary)	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	Oct 2026
	Determining working objectives (phrased by steering committee)	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities, WDM and Sector Departments	Meetings
	Localized strategic guidelines	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	Meetings
	Analyzing alternatives	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities and WDM	Meetings
	Deciding on alternatives	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities, WDM and Sector Departments	Nov 2026
Project Phase	Amendments of projects in terms of their budgets, indicators, outputs/targets/locations, and sources of finance	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities, WDM, Sector Department, NGOs, and CBO	Feb 2027

Integration Phase	Screening of draft project proposals	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities, WDM and Sector Departments	Meetings
	Integrating all reviewed activities and programmes	Lephalale Municipality, adjacent Municipalities, WDM and Sector Departments	Mar 2027

6. The District Development model

The Republic of South Africa (RSA) has introduced a transformative District Development Model (DDM) aimed at overcoming the challenges of fragmented planning, siloed operations, and duplicated efforts across the three spheres of government during the 6th Term of Administration. The Presidential Coordinating Council (PCC) serves as a key governance structure mandated to coordinate developmental efforts at provincial and local government levels, including overseeing the implementation of the DDM. Within this framework, the Office of the Premier (OTP) holds the responsibility to foster strategic partnerships among government entities, the private sector, and civil society to facilitate the implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of Local Development Plans (LDPs) aligned with DDM principles.

The institutionalization of the DDM in Limpopo will be conducted in accordance with the Municipal Systems Act (MSA) of 2000, which prescribes municipal Integrated Development Plans (IDPs) as foundational input factors, while implementation constitutes output factors. Consequently, the DDM will be integrated within the inter-governmental planning system, wherein the input elements—such as the District Socio-Economic Profiles—must inform the strategic planning of national and provincial sector departments. The output elements refer to the developmental outcomes articulated within the District One Plan. This framework underscores that the success of the DDM is fundamentally dependent on effectively conceptualizing and operationalizing the synergy between the District One Plan and the Integrated Development Plans.


6.1 Objectives of the District Development Model

- The DDM is an operational model adopted by government to enhance inter-sphere joint planning, budgeting and implantation as expressed in the District One Plan.
- It serves to improve cooperative governance and intergovernmental coherence to build a capable, ethical, and developmental state. It is aimed at amongst others to harness a strategic provincial approach by ensuring that both National and Provincial spheres of government have a shared understanding and vision which

will ensure maximum socio-economic development impact within the five (5) district municipalities in the province through the development of District One Plan.

- It aims to ensure a practical model and methodology of government working in district spaces as convergence points and impact areas within the current regulatory legislative and policy frameworks.
- The IGR, Integrated Planning and M&E Framework will guide the coordination of IGR matters within the established structures in the province, guide the coordination of DDM in Limpopo within government planning cycle which includes legislated IDP process and coordinate M&E to measure the collective impact of the three spheres of government in respect of district-based service delivery interventions.

7. Focus areas of the IGR, IDP and M&E



The following aspects (outputs) are required and need further attention, viz:

- A list of role-players to be involved in the alignment process (with respect to both types of alignment).
- Alignment events (e.g. information flows and joint planning activities) per phase with an indication of time and role players to be involved; and
- Conflict solving mechanisms for aligning different role-players.

8. National and Provincial binding legislation and policy requirements.

Municipalities are required to consider a comprehensive array of legal and policy mandates when developing Integrated Development Plans. Accordingly, these plans must align with and fulfill the specified requirements of pertinent National and Provincial departments. Table 5 provides the applicable legislative framework

Table 5 Legislative Framework

National Legislation	- The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, (Act 108 of 1996)
Local Government	- Transition act Second Amendment act (Act 97 of 1996) - Municipal Demarcation act (Act 27 of 1998) - Municipal Structures act (Act 117 of 1998) amended - Municipal Systems act (Act 32 Of 2000)amended - Municipal Finance Management act (Act 56of 2003)amended - Property Rates act (Act 6 of 2004) - Intergovernmental Relations Framework act (Act 13 of 2005) - Promotion of Access to Information act (Act 2 of 2000) - White paper on Local Government, 1998 - Towards a policy on integrated development planning, 1998 - White paper on Municipal Services Partnership, 2000 - Policy framework on Municipal International Relations, 1999
Finance	- Division of Revenue Act (Act 1 of 2007) - Municipal Finance Management Act (Act of 2003) - Municipal Property Rates Act - Standard Chart of Account (SCOA) - Supply Chain Regulations
Land and Agriculture	- Development Facilitation Act, (Act 67 of 1995) - Land use Management Bill, 2001 - White paper on South African Land reform, 1997 - Green paper no Development and Planning, 1997 - White paper on Agriculture, 1995 - Communal Land Rights Act, (Act 11 of 2004) - Spatial Land Use Management Act
Transport	- National Land Transport Bill, 1999 - National Land Transport Transitional Act, 1999 - Moving South Africa, September 1998

	- Moving South Africa the Action Agenda, 1999 - White paper on National Transport Policy, 1996
Housing	- Housing Act, (Act 107 of 1997)
Tourism	- White paper on the Development and Promotion of Tourism, 1996 - Tourism in Gear, 1997
Water Affairs and Forestry	- Water Services Act, (Act 108 of 1997) - National Water Act, (Act 36 of 1998) - National Water Amendment Act, (Act 45 of 1999) - White Paper in Water Supply and Sanitation, 1994 - White Paper on a National Water Policy for South Africa, 1997
Provincial Policies	- Limpopo Growth and Development Strategy, 2009 - Limpopo Spatial Development Framework, 2007 - Limpopo Development Plan, 2014
National Policies	- Reconstruction and development programme (RDP), 1994 - Growth, Employment and Redistribution (GEAR), 1996 - Urban Development Framework, 1997 - Rural Development Framework, 1996 - Accelerated and Shared Growth Initiatives for South Africa (ASGISA) - National Spatial Development Perspective - Standard Chart of Account (SCOA) - National Development Plan, 2012
Environment	- NEM: Waste act 59 of 2008 - Hazardous Substance Control Act, 15 of 1073 - National Health Act, 61 of 2003 - National Waste Management Strategy of November 2011 - Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation (government notice R660 of 30 July 2010) - Environmental Conservation Act, (Act 73 of 1989) - National Environmental Management Act, (Act 107 of 1998) - National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, (Act 39 of 2004) - National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, (Act 57 of 2003) - National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, (Act 10 of 2004)

	- White Paper on integrated Pollution and Waste Management, 2000 - White paper on the Conservation and Sustainable use of South Africa's Biological Diversity, 1997 - White paper on an Environmental Policy for South Africa, 1998 - National Forest Act (1998)
--	---

9. Quarterly progress review (GAP identification and analysis)

- The Municipality would review quarterly progress of the implementation of the IDP. Each directorate/department will reflect its current performance.
- This will involve identification of gaps and its analysis. The gap identification will concentrate on issues such as policies, systems in place and the link of the SDBIP and budget with the IDP.
- The analysis will include the Financial Performance of the directorates and departments.

10. Draft Reviewed IDP and Budget

- The draft reviewed IDP and Budget of the municipality should be in place by the 28 March 2026. Both the Capital Expenditure and Operational expenditure projections should be clearly highlighted.
- Priority project allocations should be aligned to influence the initial budget. It is important to have the indications of MTEF allocations. Each Directorate will provide its projections for the next three years to guide the budgeting process.
- Priority projects will be influenced by on-going consultations on project design and sector department's inputs. At the same time negotiations with Sector Departments for funding will ensue.
- Between September and October 2025, the Municipality will be engaging in public consultations to solicit comments that will inform the draft budget.
- The first draft IDP and Budget of the Municipality will be subjected to public consultations and other stakeholders for inputs during April 2025.

- During March – April 2025 both the District and our Local Municipality will engage in public debates and consultation with the community for inputs into the Draft IDP document, which will be adopted and or approved by council.

11. Summary of Key IDP Review Phases and Activities

Table 6 summarises activities to be undertaken in line with the phases set for the review of the IDP.

Table 6 IDP Review Phases and Activities

Phases	Activity	Number /Quantity
Preparation.	Steering Committee Meeting	1
	Publication of the Draft Process Plan for public comments	1
Analysis phase.	Steering committee Meeting	1
	Public Engagement/Community Ward Based Planning	15
	IDP Rep Forum meeting	1
Strategies phase	Technical Steering Committee Meeting	1
	IDP Rep Forum meeting	1
	Pre-Strategic Planning	1
	Strategic Planning	1
Projects & Integration phase	Steering Committee Meeting	1
	IDP Rep Forum meeting	1
Approval phase	Steering committee meeting	1
	IDP Rep Forum meeting	1
	Public Engagement/Community Ward Based Planning	15
	Mayoral IDP/Budget Indaba/Imbizo	1
	Publication of the Adopted IDP 2025/26	1

12. Budget for the Review of the IDP, Budget & PMS.

The IDP of the municipality guides the municipal budget which will also provide the basis to source assistance from Waterberg District Municipality, Provincial and/or National government and potential investment partners.

Provision of R1,105,355.00 has been made in the budget of 2026/27 financial year. The breakdown of this allocation is represented in **Table 7**.

PROJECT COSTS

Table 7 Budget breakdown

ACTIVITY	BUDGET 2026-2027
Costs of structures in IDP, Budget & PMS development programmes (public participation and engagements)	
Administrative Costs (printing and stationery)	
Public Notices / Advertisements	
Pre-Strategic Planning Session	
Strategic Planning Session	
District/Provincial IDP Planning Programmes	
Allocation	R 1,105,355.00

13. TIME SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE 2027/28 IDP, BUDGET AND PMS

Table 8 Time schedule of activities

Phase	Deliverables	Lead	Output	Legislative Requirement	Date
Preparation phase July- Sept	Prepare Draft 2027/28 IDP, Budget and PMS process plan	Strategic Support Services (SSS)	Approved IDP, Budget and PMS Process Plan	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s27,28,29 and 41) MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	1 Jul-26
	Signing new performance contracts by Section 57 Managers.	SSS		MFMA No. 56 of 2003 (s69) MSA No.32(s57)	10 Jul-26
	Risk Management Committee	Office of the MM		MFMA No, 56 of 2003, Sec 62 (1)(C)(i)	15 Jul-26
	Portfolio Committee	Corporate Services			15/16 Jul-26
	Assessment of the previous financial IDP 2026/27	CoGHSTA, District Municipality and Locals	Annual Performance Report	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s32)	15-17 July 2026
	Signing new performance contracts by Section 56 Managers.	SSS	4th quarter performance assessment	MFMA No. 56 of 2003 (s69) MSA No.32(s57)	21 July 2026
	Submission of the Annual Performance Reports (APR) to Audit Committee for Comments and Quarter 4 Performance Report.	Performance Management Systems(PMS) & Internal Audit (IA)		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s46)	15 July-26 05 Aug-26 Respectively
	Submission of Quarter 4 Performance Report to the Audit Committee	PMS & IA		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s46)	23-Jul-26

	Audit committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA, Sec 166	23 Jul-26
	District Wide Municipal Manager's Forum Meeting	WDM Municipal Manager		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	23 Jul-26
	SCOA Meeting	Budget & Treasury Office (BTO)		mSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	27 Jul-26
	Council (2026/27 Draft IDP, Budget and PMS Process Plan I)	Mayor		MSA No. 32 of 2000 s28(2)(3)	28 Jul-26
	District IDP & PMS Management Committee (Alignment with WDM framework for IDP)	Waterberg District Municipality (WDM)		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005, MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s27) & MFMA No. 56 of 2003 (s21)	29-30 July 2026 (Modimolle Municipality)
	Signing of Performance Agreements and Performance Plans by Divisional Managers	Corporate Support Services		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s57)	31 Jul-26
	Advertise adopted Draft IDP, Budget & PMS Process Plan for Public Participation	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 s28(3)	03 Aug-26
	1st IDP, Budget & PMS Steering Committee	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s17 & 28)	06 Aug-26
	1st IDP, Budget & PMS Rep Forum Meeting	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16,17and 18) MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	13 Aug-26
	Annual Performance Report (APR) and Quarter 4 Performance	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s45 & 46)	15 July 2026 05 Aug 2026 Respectively

	Report to Internal Audit and Audit Committee				
	IGR / DDM Meeting	Waterberg District Municipality		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	14 Aug-26
	Provincial Development Planning Forum	Office of the Premier IGR (Provincial and Municipal Planners - APPs & IDPs)		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	19-20 Aug 2026
	Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC)	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	20 Aug-26
	Audit Committee (APR Working session - s46 of MSA 2000)	Municipal Manager/ PMS / IA		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 166	21-Aug-26
	SCOA Meeting	BTO		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	24 Aug-26
	Submission of Annual Performance Report to Auditor General	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s45)	27 Aug-26
	Council (2027/28 Final IDP, Budget and PMS Process Plan)	Mayor		MSA No. 32 of 2000 s28(1)	28 Aug-26
	Submit the adopted Process Plan to the MEC-COGHSTA & Waterberg District Municipality	SSS		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	within 10 days from date of adoption
	Signing of new performance plans and Job descriptions by supervisors	Human Resources (HR)/ PMS		Municipal Staff Regulations 45181 of 20 Sept 2021	30 Aug-26

	Adopt District IDP Review Frameworks and District and Local Process Plans	IGR. IDP Managers		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	31 Aug-26
	Advertise adopted IDP, Budget & PMS Process Plan	SSS		MSA No.32 of 2000 (s21)	01 Sep-26
	Situational & Needs Analysis - Public Participation : IDP, Budget and PMS Public Participation	Ward Councillors (Ward Committee)		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 & 17) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s42), MFMA No.56 of 2003-Sec 22(a) and (b)	1-30 Sept 26
	1st Performance Reporting Template	SSS		MPR 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	15-Sep-26
	District IDP engagement session (Analysis Phase)	CoGHSTA, Office of the Premier (OTP) and WDM		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29) MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	15-16 Sept-2026
	MPAC –Projects’ visits	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	17 Sep 26
	Portfolio Committee	Corporate Services			17/18 Sept-2026
	Quarterly Performance Assessments and Annual Evaluation for 2025/26 Quarter 4	Mayor / Municipal Manager / PMS		Municipal Performance Regulation 805 (MPR 805) issued 1 st Aug 2006	20-Sep-26
	Uploading and Submission of Performance Actuals’ Report with POEs Evidence	PMS \ Departments and Divisions		MPR 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	22- Sep 2026
	Council	Corporate Support Services		MSA No 117 of s31. (1)	28-Sep-26

	SCOA Meeting	BTO		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	28-Sep-26
	Annual Performance Evaluation 2025-26	PMS/ Evaluation Panel		MFMA Regulation 805, Performance Management	30-Sep-26
Situational & Needs Analysis Phase Oct-Dec	Mayoral IDP Participation Session: Ward Committees	Mayor	Situational and Needs Analysis Report Strategic Plan Report Mid-year Performance Report 1st quarter performance assessment Report	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 & 17) & MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s23)	2 Oct 2026
	Mayoral Imbizo	Mayor		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 & 17) & MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s23)	9 Oct 2026
	Risk Management Committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 62 (1)(C)(i)	14-Oct-26
	Performance Report to Internal Audit	PMS		MPR 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	16 Oct 2026
	1st Quarter 2026/27 Performance Report	SSS		MFMA No..56 of 2003-s 41	15-Oct-26
	Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC)	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	15-Oct-26
	2nd Quarter 2025/26 Performance Report	SSS		MFMA No..56 of 2003-s42	16-Oct-26
	Submit to Audit Committee and Stake Holders	PMS		MPR 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	16-Oct-26
	MPAC – Projects' Visits	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	22-Oct-26
	Audit Committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No.56, s166	23-Oct-26
	District IDP&PMS Management Committee	Waterberg District Mun		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	22-23 Oct 2026 (Thabazimbi)

	SCOA Meeting	BTO	mSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	26-Oct-26
	Council	Corporate Support S.	MSA No 117 of s31.(1)	27-Oct-26
	Municipal Managers' Forum	Waterberg District Mun	IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	5 Nov-26
	District IDP Engagement Sessions – District Wide (Strategies Phase)	CoGHSTA, Office of the Premier (OTP) and WDM	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29) MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	10-Nov-26
	2 nd IDP, Budget & PMS Steering Committee meeting.	Municipal Manager	MSA NO. 32 of 2000 (s17)	13 Nov-26
	Commencement with Strategy Phase (IDP)	IGR. Provincial and Municipal Planners (APPs & IDPs) All Sector Departments and Municipalities	IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	01-30 Nov 2026
	IGR/DDM Meeting	Waterberg District Mun	IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	12 Nov-26
	Quarter 1 Performance Assessments: 2026/27	Mayor / MM / PMS		16 Nov-26
	Conduct Quarter 1 for 26-27 Quarterly Performance Assessments	Mayor / Municipal Manager / PMS	Municipal Performance Regulation 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	16-Nov-26
	Portfolio Committee	Corporate Services		17/18 Nov-26

	2 nd IDP, Budget & PMS Rep Forum	Strategic Support Services		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16,17and 28) MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	18 Nov-26
	Provincial District engagement session	CoGHSTA, OTP and WDM		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	TBC
				MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29)	
	Council	Corporate Support S.		MSA No 117 of s31.(1)	25 Nov-26
	Audit committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 166	26 Nov-26
	SCOA Meeting	BTO		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	30 Nov-26
	Audit Report from the Auditor General of South Africa	Auditor General		MFMA No, 56 of 2003, PAC No. 25 of 2004 & GRAP Standards	TBC
	Finalize the draft 2025-27 Annual Report incorporating financial and Nonfinancial on performance, audit reports and annual financial statements.	PMS / Directors		MFMA No, 56 of 2003 Sec 121	01-10 December 2026
	Consolidation and alignment of IDP with national, provincial and district strategies	Strategic Support Services		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s26)	3-4 Dec 2026
Provincial Development Planning Forum Meeting	IGR (Provincial and Municipal Planners - APPs &IDPs)	IGR Act No. 13 of 2006	7-Dec 2026		

	Convene M&E Forum	Provincial and Municipal Planners (APPs & IDPs)		IGR Act No. 13 of 2007	TBC
Strategies, Projects and Programmes Phase Jan-March	Mid-year budget and performance assessment visits	Provincial Treasury	Proposed Priority Projects for the next financial year Budget Adjustment Revised SDBIP 2nd Quarter performance assessment	IGR Act No. 13 of 2006	Jan - Feb 2027
	Senior Managers to submit mid-year Performance Report(Q2) with verified POEs	Directors			08 Jan 2027
	Draft Annual Report 2026-27	SSS		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s121&127(2))	08-Jan-27
	Annual Report to AG, Provincial Treasury & CoGHSTA	SSS			10-Jan-27
	Submit Annual Report 2025-26 to Internal Audit	Departments		Municipal Performance Regulation 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	11-Jan-27
	Performance Report to Council	SSS		Municipal Performance Regulation 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	12 - 16 Jan 2027
	Risk Management Committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No, 56 of 2003, Sec 62 (1)(C)(i)	13 Jan-27
	Portfolio Committee	Corporate Services			14/15 Jan-27

	Compilation of Q2 Performance Report/Mid-year Performance Report and submission to Internal Audit	PMS			15 Jan-27
	Submit to Audit Committee and Stake Holders	PMS			18 Jan 2027
	Engagements with Audit Committee	PMS			23 Jan 2027
	Quarter 2 Performance Report / Mid - year Performance Report	SSS		MPR 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	26-Jan-27
	Audit Committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 166	28-Jan-27
	Council	Corporate Support Services		MSA No 117 of s31. (1)	26-Jan-27
	Management Pre-Strategic Planning Session	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s26	28-29 Jan 2027
	Projects identification	All Departments		MSA No. 32 of 2000 s25(1)(e)	4-29 Jan 2027
	Report on the mid-term performance of the SDBIP	Municipal Manager		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s72)	24 Jan-27
	Draft Annual Report to Council	Municipal Manager		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s127)	21 -24 Jan 2027
	Municipal Manager submits 2025-26 Midyear Report to the Mayor then Council	Office of the MM		MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s72)	25-Jan-27

	SCOA	Corporate Support Services		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	26-Jan-27
	Annual Mid-year m Report	Corporate Support Services		MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s72)	27-Jan-27
	Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC)	MM		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	<u>1-4 Feb -27</u>
	SCOA Meeting	BTO		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	02-Feb-27
	District IDP Engagement Session – District Wide (Project Phase)	Waterberg District Mun		IGR Act No. 13 of 2006	9-Feb-27
	District Wide Municipal Manager's Forum Meeting	WDM Municipal Manager		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	11 Feb-27
	Strategic Planning Session	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s26)	10-12 Feb 2026
	MPAC –Projects' visits	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	18 Feb 26
	IGR / DDM	Waterberg District Mun		IGR Act No. 13 of 2006	19 Feb-26
	Publish annual report for public comments	Municipal Manager		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s127)	21 Feb - 05 Mar 2027
	Revise SDBIP in accordance with adjusted budget	PMS		MFMA Section 54	28 Feb-10 March 2027
	Publicising 2025-2026 Oversight report on the Annual Report for comments	MPAC		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29)	28 Feb -15 March 2027
	Mid-year Budget & Performance assessment	Municipal Manager		MBRR Sect 12 of MFMA No 56 of 2003	Feb - March 2027

	SDBIP review in accordance with adjusted budget	Executive Management		MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s54)	28 Feb - 13 March 2027
	Publish the 2026-27 Oversight report on the Annual Report for public comments	SSS		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s129	28 Feb - 13 March 2027
	Council	Corporate Support S.		MSA No 117 of s31.(1)	28 Feb-26
	Provincial Development Planning Forum	IGR: Provincial and Municipal Planners (APPs & IDPs)		IGR Act No. 13 of 2006	5 Mar-27
	Convene M&E Forum	Provincial and Municipal Planners (APPs & IDPs)		IGR Act No. 13 of 2006	06 Mar-27
	Final alignment with WDM, Provincial and National Programmes	SSS		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s29)	9-12 Mar 2027
	3rd IDP, Budget & PMS Steering Committee Meeting	Municipal Manager		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s17 & 28)	10 Mar-27
	Conduct Quarter 2 for 2026-27 Quarterly Performance Assessments/ Mid-Performance Assessment	PMS / AO / Mayor		Municipal Performance Regulation 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	12 Mar-27
	Budget Steering Committee for Draft 2026/27 Budget	BTO		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s53)	13 Mar-26

	Portfolio Committee	Corporate Services			13 Mar-26
	Draft IDP 2026/27	SSS		MSA No. 32 (sec 28)	15 Mar-26
	Conduct 202-25 Annual Performance Evaluation	Evaluation Panel		MPRN805 issued 1 Aug 2006	17 Mar-26
	3rd IDP, Budget & PMS Rep Forum	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 & 28) MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	17 Mar-27
	MPAC – Projects' Visits	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	18 Mar 27
	SCOA	Municipal Manager		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	21 Mar-27
	Council Table Draft IDP, Budget & SDBIP 2026/27	Mayor		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s30) MBRR Sect 12 MFMA Circ no 98	25 Mar- 27
	Integration Phase (Desktop Exercise - Draft IDP Compilation	IGR: Municipal Planners		IGR Act No.32 of 2000	26 Mar-27
	Council adopts the 2025-2027 Annual Report with comments of the Oversight Committee.	MPAC		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s21) & MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s129)	30 Mar-27

(Integration and Approval Phase) April- June	Public Participation- Ward Based : Draft IDP, Budget (tariffs, indigent credit, credit control and free basic services) and PMS 2027/28	Mayor	Approved IDP 2026-2027 Five-year financial plan Five-year capital investment plan Integrated Sector Plans. Approved SDBIP for the next financial year 3rd quarter performance assessment Reviewed PMS Policies approved by Council	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 & 17) & MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s23)	1-25 April 2026 Ward 1-15 Exact Dates to be determined
	Mayoral IDP Participation Session: Ward Committees	Mayor		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 & 17) & MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s23)	TBC
	Budget and Benchmark Assessments	Provincial Treasury		IGR Act No.32 of 2000	April - May 2026
	SDBIP 2027-28 , Reviewed IDP 2027-28 and budget 2027-28 alignment process, before submission to Audit Committee and council	Management		MSA No. 32 of 2000 & MFMA No, 56 of 2003	06 Apr-27
	MPAC – Projects Visits	MM		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	15 Apr-27
	3rd Quarter Performance Report	PMS		MFMA No, 56 of 2003, (s52d)	16 Apr-27
	Risk Management Committee	PMS		MFMA No, 56 OF 2003, Sec 62 (1)(C)(i)	21 Apr-27
	Advertise Draft 2026/27 IDP & Budget for public comments	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s25)	2-22 Apr-27
	Audit Committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 166	22 Apr-27
	SCOA Meeting	BTO		mSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	25 Apr-27
	Draft SDBIP 2026-27 and scorecards by s56 managers	PMS/ Management		MFMA No.56 of 2003 Circular 13 of 2005	30 Apr-27

	Mayoral Imbizo / Roadshow: Draft IDP, Budget and PMS 2027/28	Ward Councillors (Ward Committee)		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 & 17)	30 April 2026
	District IDP & PMS Manager's Meeting	Waterberg District Municipality		IGR Act No. 13 of 2005	4-5 May-27 Mogalakwen a / BBL Municipality
	Consolidation and alignment of plans in the IDP 2027/28	SSS			3-7 May-27
	Municipal Managers' Forum	Waterberg District Mun		IGR Act No.32 of 2000	6 May-27
	4 th IDP, Budget & PMS Steering Committee Meeting	Municipal Manager		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s17 & 28)	6 May-27
	4th IDP, Budget & PMS Rep Forum	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16,17 & 28) & MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	12 May-27
	Draft SDBIP & Performance Agreements to the Mayor 14 days after adoption of IDP & Budget	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16,17 & 28) & MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s21)	12-May-27
	Risk Management Committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No, 56 OF 2003, Sec 62 (1)(C)(i)	13-May-27
	Budget and Benchmark Assessments	Provincial Treasury		IGR Act No.32 of 2000	TBC
	Screening, alignment, and consolidation of inputs from communities	SSS		MFMA No, 56 of 2003(s23)	TBC
	MPAC	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	13-May-27

	Conduct Quarter3 for 2026-27 Quarterly Performance Assessments	Mayor / Municipal Manager		MPR 805 issued 1 Aug 2006	14-May-27
	Portfolio Committee	Corporate Services			19/20 May-2027
	Audit committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 166	20 May-27
	IGR /DDM Meeting	WDM		IGR Act No.32 of 2000	21 May-27
	Audit committee	Municipal Manager		MFMA No. 56 of 2003, Sec 166	24 May-27
	Council Table the 2027/28 IDP & Budget	Mayor		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 25) & MFMA No.56 of 2003 s16	25-May-27
	Submission of approved IDP, Budget & SDBIP to CoGHSTA, Provincial Treasury and publish the document	Municipal Manager		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s32) (S21A &21B)	within 10 days from date of adoption of the final IDP, Budget and PMS
				MBRR Sect 12 of MFMA Circular No.98	
	Publish approved 2027/28 IDP & Budget	SSS		MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 25)	31 May -21 Jun 2027
	MPAC – Projects Visits	MM		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	10-Jun 27
	MPAC	Municipal Manager		MSA No.117 of 1998 (s79)	17-Jun 27
	Approval of the SDBIP 2027/287 (<i>within 28 days of Budget approval</i>)	Mayor		MFMA No. 56 of 2003 (s53)(c) (ii)	21-Jun-27
	SCOA Meeting	BTO		MSCOA Reg. 312 of 22 April 2014	24-Jun-27

	Approved SDBIP to National & Provincial Treasury/ CoGHSTA and publication on the municipal web site	SSS		MFMA No. 56 of 2003 (s75)(e)	01-Jul-27
--	---	-----	--	---------------------------------	-----------